



Legal Disclaimer

These materials are provided for educational and informational purposes only. They do not constitute investment advice, an investment recommendation, or any other brokerage service within the meaning of applicable laws and regulations. The content is not tailored to your individual situation, knowledge, objectives, or risk profile.

The results presented may include historical simulations (backtests) and may not reflect all execution costs and constraints (including commissions, spreads, slippage, taxes, and liquidity limitations). Historical or simulated performance is not a guarantee of achieving similar results in the future.

Investing, especially in derivative instruments (e.g., futures, CFDs), involves high risk, including the risk of losing your entire invested capital and, in some cases, an obligation to provide additional margin.

These materials do not constitute an offer or solicitation to enter into any transactions. Do not copy the transactions or strategies presented. All investment decisions are made independently and at your own risk.

The results shown in the reports are indicative only and depend on the adopted methodology, data selection, parameters, assumptions, and the way risk and return measures are calculated. Actual results may differ materially from historical or simulated results.

The author may hold positions in the instruments discussed or may apply a similar approach in practice. The information contained in the reports may change without prior notice.



Methodology

[TradingEdge.Pro's](#) methodology describes a multi-step process for building and validating trading strategies, structured into two parts: strategy development and testing, and practical use. In the testing phase, a strategy is defined as a set of objective rules, validated through initial tests, optimised, and assessed for stability (robustness), and then evaluated using Walk-Forward Analysis. The detailed testing assumptions (including the instrument universe, in-sample/out-of-sample periods, data sources, transaction costs, and execution rules) are described in the "[Testing Specification](#)" document. The full methodology and metric definitions are available on the TradingEdge.Pro "[Methodology](#)" page.



RSI PowerZone v.1

Investment Strategy Testing Summary

PowerZone RSI Strategy is a **swing trading technique** that uses a **four-day RSI (Relative Strength Index)** and a downward correction of an instrument in **an upward trend** (quotes above **the 200-day moving average**). The key assumption of the strategy is **to open long positions during the correction** and **possibly pyramid it** when the price continues to fall, and then **close it after the price increases**.

The strategy was tested on **parameters suggested by the strategy creator, Larry Connors**:

- **Length of the moving average (SMA):** 200 days;
- **RSI Lengths:** 4 days;
- **RSI Entry Threshold (1 unit):** 30;
- **RSI Entry Threshold (2 units):** 25;
- **RSI Exit Threshold (take profit):** 55;
- **Stop loss:** none;
- **Method of opening a position (own):** at the opening price of the next day;
- **Position size (own):** corresponding to the risk of 2.0% of the total capital, with a hypothetical stop loss order located 2 x ATR (40 days) away from the position opening position;
- **Size of 1 unit:** 50%;
- **Size 2 units:** 50%;
- **Position direction:** long positions (buy) only.

It should be noted that while the strategy's results on in-sample data are decent, the strategy failed the stability test in a wide range of optimized parameters. This means that the strategy loses its profitability and generates a significantly larger drawdown when tests are conducted on suboptimal parameters. Therefore, **it is not recommended to use it in real transactions.**

Our goal is to have a strategy that remains **profitable and effective over a wide range of parameters**, because the market is a changing organism and the optimal parameters can change over different periods. **I cannot emphasize enough that for a strategy to work in real conditions, it must also work on suboptimal parameters and in suboptimal conditions.** In a word - **it must be stable** to changing market conditions.

I don't know who said these words, but they perfectly reflect the problem of many optimizations:

"I've never seen a strategy that didn't work in backtests."

We don't know the future, we don't know future market conditions, but if we know that our strategy **has historically generated acceptable results** in various market conditions and across various parameter ranges, then we are **one step ahead of other** market participants.



Contents

- Investment Strategy Testing Summary 3**
- Step 1: Formulate an investment strategy 5**
- Step 2: Define investment principles 6**
- Step 3: Conduct a preliminary test of the investment strategy 7**
- Step 4: Optimization and assessment of investment strategy stability..... 10**
 - 1. Stability across a wide range of optimized parameters 10
 - 2. Monte Carlo simulation 25
 - 3. Stability over a moving time window 25
 - 4. Stability long/short..... 25
 - 5. Stability in the portfolio of financial instruments 25
 - 6. Money Management (Position Sizing) 25
 - 7. Strategy Risk Management 25
- Step 5: Walk Forward Analysis 26**
- Step 6: Using the strategy in real time 27**



Step 1: Formulate an investment strategy

The RSI PowerZone strategy is a short-term investment technique developed by Larry Connors, based on the **RSI (Relative Strength Index)** and a downward correction of an instrument in an uptrend (defined by quotes above **the 200-day moving average**). The strategy aims to generate buy signals by analyzing RSI levels in the context of the prevailing market trend. In Larry Connors' version, the strategy covers only **stock indices (ETFs)** and **long positions**. **For the purposes of this test, long positions on stock indices, bonds, gold and the dollar index** were used, which allows us to assess the strategy's effectiveness across a wide range of asset classes.

The strategy uses:

- **RSI levels** to open and close a position (take profit);
- **200-day moving average** to determine an uptrend.

After opening the first long unit, **if the market continues to fall (according to RSI), the second unit is opened** – this is **the maximum number of units** in the portfolio. The tests below use the strategy in its basic version, with the only change: **the opening and closing of the position occurs at the opening price of the next day**, which is more realistic than basing on closing prices.

Characteristics of the strategy and its strengths and weaknesses:

- **Combines RSI with the trend** – moving average and RSI correction analysis increases the effectiveness of entry and exit signals.
- **Simple implementation** – uses two basic indicators (RSI and moving average), making it easy to understand and implement.
- **Taking profits** – involves closing a position after a move in the expected direction.
- **Pyramiding a position** – adding a second position if, after opening the first long position, the market continues to decline (according to RSI).
- **False signals in case of a dynamic trend change** – during periods of a rapid trend change, the RSI may generate losing signals.
- **loss order** – no protection in the event of a dynamic trend reversal, which can lead to large losses.
- **Dependence on parameters** – effectiveness depends on the appropriate selection of RSI parameters, which requires optimization.

RSI PowerZone is a strategy that, while simple to implement, requires caution due to its susceptibility to false signals and lack of stop losses. Its application requires careful optimization and risk management, especially in volatile market conditions.



Step 2: Define investment principles

Below is the pseudocode for the **PowerZone RSI strategy** on daily data:

- 1. Calculating Indicators:**
 - a. **4-Day RSI:** Used to identify short-term oversold and overbought conditions in the market.
 - b. **200-day SMA:** Determines the long-term trend of the market. If the price is above the SMA200, it is considered to be in an uptrend.
- 2. Generating Input Signals:**
 - a. **First Long Position:**
 - i. It is opened only when the market is in an uptrend (Price > SMA200) and the RSI drops below 30, which indicates an oversold condition.
 - ii. A position is opened at the opening of the next day on which the conditions are met.
 - b. **Adding a Second Unit:**
 - i. If during an open position the RSI drops below 25, an additional unit is purchased, increasing the exposure to the position.
 - ii. This is intended to take advantage of a further oversold market.
- 3. Generating Output Signals:**
 - a. The position (or positions if a second unit is added) is closed when the RSI rises above 55.
 - b. The close occurs at the opening price of the next day, after the signal is generated.
- 4. Loss Management:**
 - a. Loss orders, which means that potential losses are not limited by automatic closing of positions.
 - b. This is an important consideration for risk management and requires discipline from the trader and the possible introduction of his own capital protection mechanisms.
- 5. Daily Monitoring:**
 - a. RSI and SMA200 values are calculated every day.
 - b. The system checks whether the entry, addition of a position or exit conditions are met and takes appropriate actions the next day upon opening.
- 6. Additional Notes:**
 - a. **No Short Positions:** The strategy focuses only on long positions in an uptrend.
 - b. **Financial Instruments:** For the purposes of this test, **long positions on stock indices, bonds, gold and the dollar index were used.**

The above rules have been described in a way that allows them to be directly converted into a script in the selected testing platform, which ensures the accuracy of the historical simulation and the reliability of the test results.

The tests are carried out assuming that the risk of one position is **2.0% of the total capital**, with a **hypothetical stop loss order** distant from the position opening point by **2 x ATR (40 days)**.



Step 3: Conduct a preliminary test of the investment strategy

Below are some purchase and sale transactions that allow you to verify the following aspects:

- **Correctness of generated signals;**
- **Direction of opening position;**
- **Moment of opening a position;**
- **Position opening price;**
- **Moment of closing the position;**
- **Closing price of the position;**
- **Compliance of the transaction with the theoretical assumptions of the investment strategy.**

At this stage **it does not matter** whether the transactions are **profitable**, what **instrument was used** or whether they took place **recently** or **in the distant past**. The key is **to check whether the transactions are generated correctly** and in accordance with the assumptions described in the previous step.

The first transaction was made on a futures contract for the Nasdaq100 index. At the end of June 2018, the quotes were above **the 200-day moving average** (not visible on the chart), and the **RSI indicator value fell below 30**, which generated a signal to open a long position (**the first candle in the rectangle on the left**). The position was opened the next day at the opening price (**the second candle in the rectangle on the left**).

After several days of sideways movement, in early July 2018 the market rose slightly, raising the **RSI value to 55**, which signaled the closing of the position (**the first candle in the rectangle on the right**). The position was closed the next day at the opening price (**the second candle in the rectangle on the right**). **The system worked correctly.**



If we look at the above example, we can see that after the first position was opened, the market fell the next day and the **RSI dropped below 25**, which activated **the second buy order (the first candle in the left-hand rectangle)**. The second long position was opened the next day at the opening price (**the second candle in the left-hand rectangle**).



The position moved in a **sideways trend for several days until it increased slightly** in early July 2018, raising the **RSI indicator to 55**, which **signaled the closing of the position (the first candle in the rectangle on the right)**. The position was closed **the next day at the opening price (the second candle in the rectangle on the right)**. The system worked correctly.

Once we are sure that the transactions are generated correctly, we can proceed to the first test of the strategy on the full **in-sample data set**. These tests are conducted on **the basic parameters** that were **proposed by the creator, Larry Connors**.

First of all, **we reject strategies that linearly lose capital**. If a strategy exhibits such a pattern, it is a clear signal that any parameter optimization does not make sense.


Our basic expectation is that the strategy generates **positive results**, even if they are at a low level.

Tested base parameters:

- **Length of the moving average (SMA):** 200 days;
- **RSI Lengths:** 4 days;
- **RSI Entry Threshold (1 unit):** 30;
- **RSI Entry Threshold (2 units):** 25;
- **RSI Exit Threshold (take profit):** 55;
- **Stop loss:** none;
- **Method of opening a position:** at the opening price of the next day;
- **Position size:** corresponding to the risk of 1.0% of the total capital, with a hypothetical stop loss order located 2 x ATR (40 days) away from the position opening position;
- **Size of 1 unit:** 50%;
- **Size 2 units:** 50%;
- **Position direction:** long positions (buy) only.

The test result is shown below.

Historical or simulated results do not guarantee that similar outcomes will be achieved in the future.



Historical or simulated results do not guarantee that similar outcomes will be achieved in the future.

Indicators/Measures	Concluding a transaction at the opening price
CAGR%	13.8%
MAR Ratio	0.27
RAR%	14.9%
R-Cubed	0.56
Robust Sharpe Ratio	0.88
Max Drawdown	51.8%
Wins	71.6%
Losses	28.4%
Average Win%	0.49%
Average Loss %	0.77%
Win/ Loss Ratio	0.63
Average Trade Duration (days)	9
Percent Profit Factor	1.59
SQN	-
Number of transactions	1986

In summary, the system works properly and generates signals as expected. Additionally, tests on basic parameters have yielded satisfactory results. We can now move on to the most interesting stage of creating an investment strategy – **optimization**.



Step 4: Optimization and assessment of investment strategy stability

PowerZone v.1 Strategy assumes the use of parameters optimized by Larry Connors. This means that we do not optimize the parameters themselves, but only examine whether the strategy behaves stably on in-sample and out-of-sample data.

1. Stability across a wide range of optimized parameters

In the first step, we test the stability of the parameters on **the in-sample data**. For this purpose, we determine the ranges of values for all optimized parameters so that the quotient of the highest and lowest values of the range was at least 150%.

In the tested strategy, the ranges defined in this way are:

- **Moving average lengths (SMA):** range 160-240 days (step: 40);
- **RSI Lengths:** Range 3-5 days (step: 1);
- **RSI Entry Threshold (1 unit):** range 24-36 (step: 1);
- **RSI Entry Threshold (2 unit):** range 20-30 (step: 1);
- **RSI Exit Threshold (take profit):** range 44-66 (step: 2).

The purpose of this test is to check whether the strategy remains stable (robust) over a wide range of parameters, which will allow to assess its usefulness in real market conditions. The key evaluation criterion is that all test results show a positive MAR value, and the maximum drawdown does not exceed 250% of the drawdown value for the result with the highest MAR. If any test generates a negative MAR value or if the drawdown exceeds 250% of the drawdown value for the result with the highest MAR, the strategy is completely rejected.

The lowest MAR value of -0.01 was achieved for the following parameters:

- **Length of the moving average (SMA):** 240;
- **RSI lengths:** 3;
- **RSI Entry Threshold (1 unit):** 34;
- **RSI Entry Threshold (2 units):** 20;
- **RSI Exit Threshold (take profit):** 44.


Historical or simulated results do not guarantee that similar outcomes will be achieved in the future.

Test	Moving Average (bars)	RSI (bars)	RSI Entry Threshold (1)	RSI Entry Threshold (2)	RSI Exit Threshold	End Balance	CAGR%	MAR	Sharpe	Ann. Sharpe	Max TE DD
11617	240	3	34	20	44	\$43,280,648.03	-0.80%	-0.01	0.06	-0.04	66.3%
11629	240	3	34	21	44	\$44,580,945.69	-0.64%	-0.01	0.07	-0.03	65.7%
11641	240	3	34	22	44	\$44,759,353.11	-0.61%	-0.01	0.07	-0.03	66.0%
11749	240	3	35	20	44	\$45,086,766.23	-0.57%	-0.01	0.07	-0.03	66.3%
11485	240	3	33	20	44	\$45,418,526.78	-0.53%	-0.01	0.07	-0.03	65.4%
11497	240	3	33	21	44	\$45,589,166.11	-0.51%	-0.01	0.07	-0.03	65.2%
11353	240	3	32	20	44	\$45,917,365.46	-0.47%	-0.01	0.08	-0.02	64.4%
11365	240	3	32	21	44	\$46,119,489.86	-0.45%	-0.01	0.08	-0.02	64.5%
11761	240	3	35	21	44	\$46,170,481.32	-0.44%	-0.01	0.08	-0.02	65.9%
11773	240	3	35	22	44	\$46,410,340.89	-0.41%	-0.01	0.08	-0.02	66.2%
11509	240	3	33	22	44	\$46,682,849.52	-0.38%	-0.01	0.08	-0.02	65.2%
11737	240	3	34	30	44	\$46,744,283.81	-0.37%	-0.01	0.09	-0.02	69.4%
11377	240	3	32	22	44	\$47,073,552.21	-0.33%	-0.01	0.08	-0.02	64.6%
11869	240	3	35	30	44	\$47,521,476.51	-0.28%	-0.00	0.09	-0.01	69.3%
11677	240	3	34	25	44	\$47,884,762.03	-0.24%	-0.00	0.09	-0.01	67.7%
11689	240	3	34	26	44	\$48,062,136.55	-0.22%	-0.00	0.09	-0.01	67.4%



Below is a graph of the equity curve for **the strategy with the lowest MAR.**

Historical or simulated results do not guarantee that similar outcomes will be achieved in the future.



The highest MAR value of 0.38 was achieved for the following parameters:

- Length of the moving average (SMA): 160;
- RSI lengths: 5;
- RSI Entry Threshold (1 unit): 32;
- RSI Entry Threshold (2 units): 25;
- RSI Exit Threshold (take profit): 50.

The highest MAR value was accompanied by a drawdown of 32.4%.


Historical or simulated results do not guarantee that similar outcomes will be achieved in the future.

Test	Moving Average (bars)	RSI (bars)	RSI Entry Threshold (1)	RSI Entry Threshold (2)	RSI Exit Threshold	End Balance	CAGR%	MAR	Sharpe	Ann. Sharpe	Max TE DD
4552	160	5	32	25	50	\$414,208,111.95	12.47%	0.38	1.00	1.22	32.4%
4588	160	5	32	28	50	\$404,716,881.47	12.32%	0.38	0.95	1.16	32.3%
4564	160	5	32	26	50	\$407,268,374.12	12.36%	0.38	0.98	1.18	32.4%
4156	160	5	29	25	50	\$376,941,072.22	11.88%	0.38	1.02	1.26	31.2%
4204	160	5	29	29	50	\$368,664,655.68	11.74%	0.38	0.98	1.21	31.2%
4168	160	5	29	26	50	\$364,326,238.90	11.67%	0.37	1.00	1.18	31.1%
4600	160	5	32	29	50	\$412,781,970.88	12.44%	0.37	0.94	1.15	33.2%
4576	160	5	32	27	50	\$396,409,866.58	12.19%	0.37	0.95	1.15	32.6%
4192	160	5	29	28	50	\$362,756,439.47	11.64%	0.37	0.97	1.20	31.2%
4420	160	5	31	25	50	\$392,949,583.36	12.14%	0.37	1.00	1.21	32.6%
4540	160	5	32	24	50	\$390,259,717.48	12.09%	0.37	0.99	1.26	32.5%
4216	160	5	29	30	50	\$358,242,033.90	11.56%	0.37	0.96	1.20	31.2%
4612	160	5	32	30	50	\$422,879,210.99	12.59%	0.37	0.94	1.14	34.0%
4144	160	5	29	24	50	\$355,714,814.65	11.52%	0.37	1.01	1.27	31.2%
4180	160	5	29	27	50	\$353,861,430.41	11.49%	0.37	0.97	1.18	31.2%
4288	160	5	30	25	50	\$373,443,664.64	11.82%	0.37	0.99	1.21	32.2%



Below is a graph of the equity curve for **the strategy with the highest MAR**.

Historical or simulated results do not guarantee that similar outcomes will be achieved in the future.



For all combinations of tested parameter ranges, **the highest drawdown was 94.1%**.

Historical or simulated results do not guarantee that similar outcomes will be achieved in the future.

Test	Moving Average (bars)	RSI (bars)	RSI Entry Threshold (1)	RSI Entry Threshold (2)	RSI Exit Threshold	End Balance	CAGR%	MAR	Sharpe	Ann. Sharpe	Max TE DD
1535	160	3	35	26	64	\$180,179,149.35	7.38%	0.08	0.44	0.30	94.1%
1379	160	3	34	24	64	\$178,419,758.21	7.32%	0.08	0.44	0.31	94.1%
1403	160	3	34	26	64	\$181,081,106.21	7.41%	0.08	0.45	0.31	94.0%
1655	160	3	36	25	64	\$180,287,936.89	7.39%	0.08	0.44	0.31	94.0%
1439	160	3	34	29	64	\$198,170,163.76	7.95%	0.08	0.46	0.33	94.0%
1679	160	3	36	27	64	\$193,816,031.42	7.82%	0.08	0.46	0.32	94.0%
1415	160	3	34	27	64	\$195,772,115.52	7.88%	0.08	0.46	0.32	94.0%
1427	160	3	34	28	64	\$193,719,599.98	7.82%	0.08	0.46	0.32	94.0%
1451	160	3	34	30	64	\$203,423,459.62	8.11%	0.09	0.47	0.33	94.0%
1391	160	3	34	25	64	\$171,404,663.57	7.08%	0.08	0.43	0.30	94.0%
1583	160	3	35	30	64	\$198,625,443.23	7.97%	0.08	0.46	0.32	94.0%
1523	160	3	35	25	64	\$173,493,692.24	7.16%	0.08	0.44	0.30	94.0%
1691	160	3	36	28	64	\$195,223,816.20	7.86%	0.08	0.46	0.32	94.0%
1547	160	3	35	27	64	\$193,433,751.22	7.81%	0.08	0.46	0.32	94.0%
1559	160	3	35	28	64	\$191,507,340.85	7.75%	0.08	0.46	0.32	94.0%
1703	160	3	36	29	64	\$200,543,178.06	8.02%	0.09	0.46	0.33	94.0%


In summary, the strategy failed the stability test over a wide range of optimized parameters because:



- **MAR value** – which indicates the instability of the strategy in different market conditions.
- **The maximum drawdown exceeded 250% of the drawdown value** for the result with the highest MAR (**94.1% vs. 32.4%**) – which means a high risk of deep capital drawdowns.



Therefore, **further testing of the strategy is not justified**, as its use in real transactions is **highly questionable**.







Heatmaps for the tested ranges are presented below.











































Observations for potential inclusion in future versions of the strategy, resulting from the analysis of stability test results and heatmap:

- **Sensitivity to RSI Exit Threshold** – the strategy shows high volatility depending on the RSI level used to close the position. In addition, a negative MAR is generated for RSI Exit Threshold below 50. **It is possible to move the lower range of RSI Exit to the level of 50.**
- **Moving Average (SMA) Length Optimization** – Heatmap analysis shows that strategies with shorter SMA (closer to 160 days) have higher MAR and lower drawdowns compared to longer periods. **It is possible to shorten the SMA.**
- **Adjusting RSI Entry Threshold Levels** – It has been noted that better results are obtained for RSI Entry in the 24-30 range, suggesting that entries may be more effective at slightly lower RSI values than in the original strategy. It is also questionable to use two entry levels, as their optimal levels fall close together. **You can test pyramiding with a single, lower RSI Entry Threshold level and an additional condition for opening a second unit.**

2. Monte Carlo simulation

This step was skipped due to failure of previous stability tests.

3. Stability over a moving time window

This step was skipped due to failure of previous stability tests.

4. Stability long/short

This step was skipped due to failure of previous stability tests.

5. Stability in the portfolio of financial instruments

This step was skipped due to failure of previous stability tests.

6. Money Management (Position Sizing)

This step was skipped due to failure of previous stability tests.

7. Strategy Risk Management

This step was skipped due to failure of previous stability tests.



Step 5: Walk Forward Analysis

This step was skipped due to **failure of previous stability tests.**



Step 6: Using the strategy in real time

This step was skipped due to **failure of previous stability tests.**